Unsteady electrorotation of a drop in a constant electric field

Alexander N. Tyatyushkin[1, a)]
Institute of Mechanics, Moscow State University, Michurinskiy Ave., 1, Moscow 119192, Russia

The unsteady electrorotation of a drop of a viscous weakly conducting polarizable liquid suspended in another viscous weakly conducting polarizable liquid immiscible with the former in an applied constant uniform electric field is theoretically investigated. The surface tension of the drop is regarded as sufficiently large in order the deformation of the drop under the action of the applied electric field and electrohydrodynamic flow can be considered small and the drop can be considered spherical in the calculation of the electric field and flow. The electric field intensity and the velocity and pressure in the electrohydrodynamic flow are sought for in the form of series with time-dependent scalar, vector, and tensor coefficients for which relations are found allowing one to determine them. The coefficients are sought for in the form of asymptotic expansions over the parameter the smallness of which corresponds to the sufficiently large viscosity of the drop with respect to that of the surrounding liquid. The differential equations for the terms of the dimensionless expansions up to the first and second orders with respect to this parameter are obtained. Their stationary solutions are found in the explicit form and their stability is investigated. Up to the terms of the second order, transitions to deformational oscillations of the drop are established not to occur. Up to the terms of the second order, the dependence of the shape of the drop on the intensity of the applied electric field is revealed to have hysteresis.

arXiv:1703.00434v1 [physics.flu-dyn] 1 Mar 2017

I. INTRODUCTION

Under the action of an applied electric field, electrohydrodynamic flow arises inside and outside a drop of viscous weakly conducting polarizable liquid suspended in another viscous weakly conducting polarizable liquid immiscible with the former. If the ratio of the conductivity of the drop to the conductivity of the surrounding liquid is greater than the ratio of the dielectric permittivity of the drop to the dielectric permittivity of the surrounding liquid, the drop rotates in a constant electric field when the electric field intensity exceeds some threshold value. This phenomenon is called electrorotation. It is caused by the presence of the surface convective electric current at the interface between the liquids. Unlike the electrohydrodynamic flow, the electrorotation takes place not only for suspended drops, but also for suspended solid particles. The interest to the study of the electrohydrodynamic flows with electrorotation is caused by the possible application of this phenomenon to the flow control in various devices, in particular in microfluidics.

Experiments show that, if the electrorotation takes place, the drop deforms in a constant electric field so that it either tends to take some stationary non-axisymmetric shape[1,2] or performs deformational oscillations[3]. If the ratio of the viscosity of the drop to the viscosity of the surrounding liquid is not too large, hysteretic phenomena are observed when the intensity of the applied electric field varies[1].

Melcher and Taylor[4] investigated theoretically the electrorotation of a rigid weakly conducting polarizable infinitely long cylinder in a viscous weakly conducting polarizable liquid. Jones[5] studied the electrorotation of a rigid weakly conducting polarizable spherical particle. The electrorotation of a drop of viscous weakly conducting polarizable liquid is theoretically and experimentally investigated in the works of Salipante and Vlahovska[1,3] and He, Salipante and Vlahovska.

A review of early works on the electrohydrodynamic flows, including the works devoted to the electrorotation, is given in the paper of Melcher and Taylor[4]. A review of works devoted to electrorotation of rigid particles is presented in the work of Jones[5]. Reviews of the researches devoted to electrorotation of drops can be found in the works[1–3]. The most recent and complete review of the works devoted to both experimental and theoretical investigations of the electrorotation of drops is presented in the paper of Vlahovska[6].

The goal of this work is to investigate theoretically the unsteady electrorotation of a drop of viscous weakly conducting polarizable liquid suspended in another viscous weakly conducting polarizable liquid immiscible with the former in a constant electric field with taking into account the surface conductivity. The study of non-stationary solutions for stationary external conditions allows one to investigate the stability of the stationary solutions, to ascertain the possibility of the solutions with a transition to steady-state oscillations, and to ascertain the possibility of the occurrence of hysteretic phenomena for sufficiently slow variations of the external conditions.

In Section II, the problem is set, the governing equations and boundary conditions are written down, and the used approximations are discussed. In Section III, the solution is written down in the form of series with time-dependent scalar, vector, and tensor coefficients, the dimensionless coefficients are sought for in the form of asymptotic expansions over a parameter smallness of which corresponds to large viscosity of the drop with respect to that of the surrounding liquid, and the expressions and differential equations for the terms of those expansions up to the first and second orders are written down and analyzed. The obtained results are summa-

[a)] Electronic mail: tan@imec.msu.ru

rized and discussed in Section IV.

II. SETTING OF THE PROBLEM

A. Object of investigation

Consider a drop of incompressible liquid in an applied constant uniform electric field with intensity $\vec{E}_\mathrm{a}$. The viscosity, electric conductivity, and dielectric permittivity of the liquid inside the drop are η_i, λ_i, and ε_i. The radius of the drop in the undeformed state is a. The drop is suspended in an incompressible liquid with the viscosity η_e, electric conductivity λ_e, and dielectric permittivity ε_e. The surface tension and surface electric conductivity of the interface between the liquids are σ_s and λ_s. The liquids are regarded as sufficiently viscous so that the small Reynolds number approximation is valid and the conductivities, so small that the electrohydrodynamic approximation is valid (see[4]).

B. System of equations

In order to find the drop shape, electric field intensity, $\vec{E}$, velocity, $\vec{v}$, and pressure, p, as functions of the radius-vector, $\vec{r}$, and time, t, under the above made assumptions, the system of equations of electrohydrodynamic (see[4]) written down for electric fields in the quasi-stationary approximation and for flows in the quasi-steady approximation is used. This system consists of the continuity equation for an incompressible liquid

$$\nabla \cdot \vec{v} = 0, \tag{1}$$

the motion equation in the small Reynolds number and quasi-steady flow approximations

$$\nabla \cdot \left(-p\hat{I} + \hat{\sigma}_\mathrm{e} + \hat{\sigma}_\mathrm{v} \right) = 0, \tag{2}$$

the Maxwell's equations in the electrohyrodynamic and quasi-stationary field approximations

$$\nabla \cdot \vec{D} = 0, \qquad \nabla \times \vec{E} = 0, \tag{3}$$

the electric charge conservation law

$$\nabla \cdot \vec{j} = 0, \tag{4}$$

and the constitutive relations

$$\vec{j} = \lambda \vec{E}, \tag{5}$$

$$\vec{D} = \varepsilon \vec{E}. \tag{6}$$

Here, the formulas are written down for Gaussian system of units, $\cdot$ and $\times$ denote the scalar and vector products, $\vec{D}$ is the electric induction, $\vec{j}$ is the volume density of the electric current, $\eta = \eta_\mathrm{i}$, $\lambda = \lambda_\mathrm{i}$, and $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_\mathrm{i}$ inside the drop, $\eta = \eta_\mathrm{e}$, $\lambda = \lambda_\mathrm{e}$, and $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_\mathrm{e}$ outside it, ∇ is the nabla operator, $\hat{I}$ is the identity tensor, $\hat{\sigma}_\mathrm{e}$ and $\hat{\sigma}_\mathrm{v}$ are the tensors of electric and viscous stresses expressed as follows

$$\hat{\sigma}_\mathrm{e} = \frac{1}{4\pi}\vec{D}\vec{E} - \frac{1}{8\pi}\vec{D}\cdot\vec{E}\hat{I}, \tag{7}$$

$$\hat{\sigma}_\mathrm{v} = 2\eta \left(\nabla\vec{v}\right)^\mathrm{S}, \tag{8}$$

where $\vec{A}\vec{B}$ denotes the dyadic product of the vectors $\vec{A}$ and $\vec{B}$, $\nabla\vec{f}$ denotes the dyadic product of the nabla operator and the vector field $\vec{f} = \vec{f}(\vec{r})$, $\hat{T}^\mathrm{S}$ denotes the symmetric part of the tensor $\hat{T}$. Since the electric conductivity and dielectric permittivity are uniform, the electric charge conservation law Eq. (4) follows from Maxwell's equations for electric induction Eq. (3).

Using the continuity equation Eq. (1) and Maxwell's equations Eq. (3) and taking into account that the electric conductivity and dielectric permittivity are uniform, the motion equation Eq. (2) can be rewritten in the form of the Navier–Stokes equation in the small Reynolds number approximation[4]

$$-\nabla p + \eta\Delta\vec{v} = 0, \tag{9}$$

where Δ is the Laplacian.

C. Boundary conditions

The boundary conditions on the interface between the liquids include the impenetrability condition

$$\vec{v}|_\mathrm{e} \cdot \vec{n} = \vec{v}|_\mathrm{i} \cdot \vec{n} = v_{sn}, \tag{10}$$

the no-slip condition

$$[\vec{v}]_\mathrm{s} \times \vec{n} = 0, \tag{11}$$

the conditions for the jumps of the normal and tangential components of the stress vector $\vec{\sigma}_n = \vec{n}\cdot\left(-p\hat{I} + \hat{\sigma}_\mathrm{e} + \hat{\sigma}_\mathrm{v}\right)$

$$\vec{n} \cdot \left[-p\hat{I} + \hat{\sigma}_\mathrm{e} + \hat{\sigma}_\mathrm{v}\right]_\mathrm{s} \cdot \vec{n} = -\sigma_\mathrm{s}\mathcal{H}, \tag{12}$$

$$\vec{n} \cdot [\hat{\sigma}_\mathrm{e} + \hat{\sigma}_\mathrm{v}]_\mathrm{s} \times \vec{n} = 0, \tag{13}$$

the continuity condition for the tangential component of the intensity of the electric field

$$\left[\vec{E}\right]_\mathrm{s} \times \vec{n} = 0, \tag{14}$$

and the conditions for the jump of the normal component of the density of the electric current

$$\frac{\partial q_\mathrm{s}}{\partial t} = q_\mathrm{s}\mathcal{H}v_{sn} - \left[\vec{j}\right]_\mathrm{s}\cdot\vec{n} - \nabla_\alpha j_\mathrm{s}^\alpha = q_\mathrm{s}\mathcal{H}v_{sn} - \left[\vec{j}\right]_\mathrm{s}\cdot\vec{n} - \nabla_\mathrm{s}\cdot\vec{j}_\mathrm{s}. \tag{15}$$

Here, $A|_\mathrm{i}$ and $A|_\mathrm{e}$ denote the values of the quantity A on the interface between the liquids approached from inside and outside the drop, respectively, $[A]_\mathrm{s} = A|_\mathrm{e} - A|_\mathrm{i}$ denotes the jump of the quantity A at the interface when

moving from the inside to the outside, $\nabla_{\rm s}$ denotes the surface nabla operator, $\vec{n}$ is the external normal unit vector at a given point of the interface, v_{sn} is the normal component of the velocity of the surface of the drop at a given point, $\mathcal{H}$ is the mean curvature at a given point of the surface of the drop, $q_{\rm s}$ is the density of the surface electric charge, determined by the condition for the jump of the the normal component of the electric induction

$$\left[\vec{D}\right]_{\rm s}\cdot\vec{n}=4\pi q_{\rm s}, \tag{16}$$

$\vec{j}_{\rm s}$ is the density of the total surface electric current. Note that definition of the mean curvature used in the present work is such that it takes negative values on the surface of a convex domain.

The continuity conditions for the tangential components of the velocity and electric field intensity allow determining the following vector fields defined on the surface of the drop

$$\vec{v}_{s\tau}=\vec{n}\times\left(\vec{v}|_{\rm i}\times\vec{n}\right)=\vec{n}\times\left(\vec{v}|_{\rm e}\times\vec{n}\right), \tag{17}$$

$$\vec{E}_{\rm s}=\vec{n}\times\left(\vec{E}\Big|_{\rm i}\times\vec{n}\right)=\vec{n}\times\left(\vec{E}\Big|_{\rm e}\times\vec{n}\right). \tag{18}$$

The density of the total surface electric current is determined with the help of these functions as follows

$$\vec{j}_{\rm s}=\lambda_{\rm s}\vec{E}_{\rm s}+q_{\rm s}\vec{v}_{s\tau}, \tag{19}$$

where $\lambda_{\rm s}$ is the surface electric conductivity. Substituting Eq. (19) into Eq. (15), one obtains

$$\frac{\partial q_{\rm s}}{\partial t}=q_{\rm s}\mathcal{H}v_{sn}-\left[\vec{j}\right]_{\rm s}\cdot\vec{n}-\nabla_{\rm s}\cdot\left(\lambda_{\rm s}\vec{E}_{\rm s}\right)-\nabla_{\rm s}\cdot\left(q_{\rm s}\vec{v}_{s\tau}\right). \tag{20}$$

Using the boundary conditions Eq. (14) and Eq. (16) and the constitutive relations Eq. (6), one can transform the conditions for the stress vector Eq. (12) and Eq. (13) into the form

$$\begin{aligned}&-[p]_{\rm s}+\frac{1}{8\pi}\varepsilon_{\rm e}\left(\vec{E}\Big|_{\rm e}\cdot\vec{n}\right)^2-\frac{1}{8\pi}\varepsilon_{\rm i}\left(\vec{E}\Big|_{\rm i}\cdot\vec{n}\right)^2\\&-\frac{1}{8\pi}\left(\varepsilon_{\rm e}-\varepsilon_{\rm i}\right)\left|\vec{E}\Big|_{\rm i}\times\vec{n}\right|^2+2\vec{n}\cdot[\eta\nabla\vec{v}]_{\rm s}\cdot\vec{n}=-\sigma_{\rm s}\mathcal{H},\end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

$$\vec{n}\times\left([\eta\nabla\vec{v}]_{\rm s}\cdot\vec{n}+\vec{n}\cdot[\eta\nabla\vec{v}]_{\rm s}\right)=-q_{\rm s}\,\vec{n}\times\vec{E}\Big|_{\rm i}. \tag{22}$$

Using the introduced notations Eqs. (17) and (18), one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}&-[p]_{\rm s}+\frac{1}{8\pi}\varepsilon_{\rm e}\left(\vec{E}\Big|_{\rm e}\cdot\vec{n}\right)^2-\frac{1}{8\pi}\varepsilon_{\rm i}\left(\vec{E}\Big|_{\rm i}\cdot\vec{n}\right)^2\\&-\frac{1}{8\pi}\left(\varepsilon_{\rm e}-\varepsilon_{\rm i}\right)E_{\rm s}^2+2\vec{n}\cdot[\eta\nabla\vec{v}]_{\rm s}\cdot\vec{n}=-\sigma_{\rm s}\mathcal{H},\end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

$$-\left\{\vec{n}\times\left([\eta\nabla\vec{v}]_{\rm s}\cdot\vec{n}+\vec{n}\cdot[\eta\nabla\vec{v}]_{\rm s}\right)\right\}\times\vec{n}=q_{\rm s}\vec{E}_{\rm s} \tag{24}$$

or

$$-\left(\vec{n}\times\left\{\left[2\eta\left(\nabla\vec{v}\right)^{\rm S}\right]_{\rm s}\cdot\vec{n}\right\}\right)\times\vec{n}=q_{\rm s}\vec{E}_{\rm s}. \tag{25}$$

There is the jump of the tangential component of the viscous stress vector on the surface of the drop in left part of the boundary condition Eq. (25). Thus, the boundary condition Eq. (25) describes the action of the Coulomb force $q_{\rm s}\vec{E}_{\rm s}$, which is a surface tangential force.

The boundary conditions at infinity have the form

$$\vec{v}\to 0 \qquad \text{at} \quad r\to\infty, \tag{26}$$

$$p\to p_\infty \qquad \text{at} \quad r\to\infty, \tag{27}$$

$$\vec{E}\to\vec{E}_{\rm a} \qquad \text{at} \quad r\to\infty, \tag{28}$$

where p_∞ is the pressure at infinity.

Besides, $\vec{v}(\vec{r},t)$, $p(\vec{r},t)$, and $\vec{E}(\vec{r},t)$ should be bounded for all the bounded values of $\vec{r}$.

D. Small deformation approximation

If the following condition is satisfied

$$\frac{2\sigma_{\rm s}}{a}\gg\max(\varepsilon_{\rm i},\varepsilon_{\rm e})E_{\rm a}^2, \tag{29}$$

where a is the radius of the undeformed drop, then the drop experiences small deformation under action of the electric field and flow, i.e., its surface is almost spherical. In this case, in order to find the shape of the deformed drop in the first order approximation with respect to the dimensionless small parameters $\varepsilon_{\rm i}E_{\rm a}^2a/\sigma_{\rm s}$ and $\varepsilon_{\rm e}E_{\rm a}^2a/\sigma_{\rm s}$, the small deformation approximation can be used. Within this approximation, the system of equations Eq. (1), Eqs. (3)–(6), and Eq. (9) is solved for the spherical drop with the use of the boundary conditions Eqs. (10)–(11), Eqs. (14)–(20), and Eq. (25) (i.e., without using the boundary condition Eq. (23) following from the condition for the normal component of the stress vector Eq. (12)). Then the found solution is used for the calculation of the jump of the normal component of the stress vector and, with the use of the boundary condition Eq. (23), of the mean curvature $\mathcal{H}$. Then, with the use of the calculated mean curvature, the shape of the deformed drop is found.

Let the surface of the drop be given by the following equation

$$r=|\vec{r}|=a+h\left(\frac{\vec{r}}{r},t\right). \tag{30}$$

The function $h\left(\vec{r}/r,t\right)$ can be represented in the form

$$h\left(\frac{\vec{r}}{r},t\right)=\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\hat{H}_n\overset{n}{\cdot}\frac{\vec{r}^{\,n}}{r^n}, \tag{31}$$

which excludes displacements of the drop by some vector without variation of its shape. Here, $\hat{H}_n=\hat{H}_n(t)$ $(n>1)$

are some tensors each of which is an arbitrary irreducible tensor of nth order, i.e., a tensor of nth order symmetric with respect to any pair of indices and such that its contraction with the identity tensor over any pair of indices is equal to zero. Here and in what follows, $\vec{b}^{\,n}$ denotes nth dyadic degree of the vector $\vec{b}$ (i.e., the $(n-1)$-multiple dyadic product of the vector $\vec{b}$ by itself), $\overset{n}{\cdot}$ denotes n-multiple contraction of tensors over the adjacent indices. The condition for the small deformation approximation to be valid has the form

$$\max\left|h\left(\frac{\vec{r}}{r},t\right)\right| \ll a. \tag{32}$$

Note that, in this representation, the the volume bounded by the surface Eq. (30) is equal to the volume of the undeformed drop, $4\pi a^3/3$, within the small deformation approximation. Besides, in this approximation,

$$v_{sn} = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d}\hat{H}_n}{\mathrm{d}t} \overset{n}{\cdot} \vec{n}^{\,n}. \tag{33}$$

The mean curvature in the small deformation approximation has the form

$$\mathcal{H} = -\frac{2}{a} + \frac{1}{a^2}\left[2h - 2\vec{n}_0 \cdot \frac{\partial h}{\partial \vec{n}_0} + \left(\hat{I} - \vec{n}_0\vec{n}_0\right) \overset{2}{\cdot} \frac{\partial^2 h}{\partial \vec{n}_0^2}\right], \tag{34}$$

where $\vec{n}_0 = \vec{r}/r$, $\partial^n f/\partial \vec{x}^n$ denotes the tensor that is the result of n-multiple differentiation of the function $f = f(\vec{x})$ (e.g., $\nabla f(\vec{r}) = \partial f/\partial \vec{r}$). Using the representation for the function $h = h(\vec{n}_0, t)$ Eq. (31), one obtains

$$\mathcal{H} = -\frac{2}{a} - \frac{1}{a^2}\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(n-1)(n+2)\hat{H}_n \overset{n}{\cdot} \frac{\vec{r}^{\,n}}{r^n}. \tag{35}$$

III. SOLUTION

A. Electric field

The intensity of the electric field is sought for in the form

$$\vec{E} = -\nabla\varphi, \tag{36}$$

where

$$\varphi = \begin{cases} -\vec{E}_{\mathrm{a}} \cdot \vec{r} + \sum\limits_{n=1}^{\infty} \hat{D}_n \overset{n}{\cdot} \dfrac{\vec{r}^{\,n}}{r^{2n+1}}, & r > a, \\ -\vec{E}_{\mathrm{a}} \cdot \vec{r} + \sum\limits_{n=1}^{\infty} \hat{D}_n \overset{n}{\cdot} \dfrac{\vec{r}^{\,n}}{a^{2n+1}}, & r \leqslant a. \end{cases} \tag{37}$$

Here, $\hat{D}_n = \hat{D}_n(t)$ is the tensor of 2^nth-pole electric moment which is either some vector for $n = 1$ or, for $n > 1$, some irreducible tensor of nth order. Thus, the electric field is determined by a set of tensor functions $\hat{D}_n = \hat{D}_n(t)$ $(n = 1, 2, \dots)$. For a field given in this form, Maxwell's equations Eq. (3), the continuity condition of the tangential component of the intensity of the electric field Eq. (14), the boundary condition for the electric field at infinity Eq. (28), and the conditions of boundedness of $\vec{E}$, $\vec{D}$, and $\vec{j}$ are automatically satisfied.

Using Eq. (37), the boundary conditions Eq. (18) and Eq. (16), the identity

$$\nabla\left[\hat{D}_n \overset{n}{\cdot} \vec{r}^{\,n}\right] = n\hat{D}_n \overset{n-1}{\cdot} \vec{r}^{\,n-1}, \tag{38}$$

and the fact that $\vec{r} = a\vec{n}$ on the surface of the drop in the small deformation approximation, one obtains

$$\vec{E}_{\mathrm{s}} = \vec{n} \times \left(\vec{E}_{\mathrm{a}} \times \vec{n}\right) - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n a^{-n-2} \vec{n} \times \left\{\left[\hat{D}_n \overset{n-1}{\cdot} \vec{n}^{\,n-1}\right] \times \vec{n}\right\}, \tag{39}$$

$$q_{\mathrm{s}} = \frac{1}{4\pi}\left(\varepsilon_{\mathrm{e}} - \varepsilon_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\vec{E}_{\mathrm{a}} \cdot \vec{n} + \frac{1}{4\pi}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a^{-n-2}\left[(n+1)\varepsilon_{\mathrm{e}} + \varepsilon_{\mathrm{i}} n\right]\hat{D}_n \overset{n}{\cdot} \vec{n}^{\,n}. \tag{40}$$

Using Eq. (37), one also obtains

$$\left[\vec{j}\right]_{\mathrm{s}} \cdot \vec{n} = \left(\lambda_{\mathrm{e}} - \lambda_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\vec{E}_{\mathrm{a}} \cdot \vec{n} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a^{-n-2}\left[(n+1)\lambda_{\mathrm{e}} + \lambda_{\mathrm{i}} n\right]\hat{D}_n \overset{n}{\cdot} \vec{n}^{\,n}. \tag{41}$$

Calculation of the surface divergence of the surface electric conductivity current yields

$$\nabla_{\mathrm{s}} \cdot \left(\lambda_{\mathrm{s}}\vec{E}_{\mathrm{s}}\right) = -\frac{2}{a}\lambda_{\mathrm{s}}\vec{E}_{\mathrm{a}} \cdot \vec{n} + \lambda_{\mathrm{s}}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n(n+1)a^{-n-3}\hat{D}_n \overset{n}{\cdot} \vec{n}^{\,n}. \tag{42}$$

B. Electrohydrodynamic flow

The velocity and pressure in the flow caused by the tangential surface force $q_{\mathrm{s}}\vec{E}_{\mathrm{s}}$ are determined by the continuity equation Eq. (1) and Navier–Stokes equations in the low Reynolds number approximation Eq. (9) with the impenetrability and no-slip boundary conditions Eqs. (10)–(11), the conditions for tangential stresses Eq. (25) on the surface of the drop, the boundary conditions at infinity for the velocity and pressure Eqs. (26)–(27), and the conditions of boundedness for the velocity and pressure.

The solution is sought for in the form which can be constructed from general Lamb's solution (cf.[7], art. 336) for a quasi-steady flow

$$\vec{v} = \vec{v}_1 + \vec{v}_2 + \vec{v}_3, \tag{43}$$

$$\vec{v}_1 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \nabla \times \left\{ \left[\hat{A}_{1,1,n} \overset{n-1}{\cdot} \frac{\vec{r}^{\,n-1}}{a^{n-1}} \right] \times \vec{r} \right\} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \nabla \times \left\{ \left[\hat{A}_{1,2,n} \overset{n-1}{\cdot} \frac{\vec{r}^{\,n-1} a^{n+2}}{r^{2n+1}} \right] \times \vec{r} \right\}, \quad (44)$$

$$\vec{v}_2 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \nabla \times \left\{ \left[\hat{A}_{2,1,n} \overset{n-1}{\cdot} \frac{\vec{r}^{\,n-1} r^2}{a^{n+1}} \right] \times \vec{r} \right\} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \nabla \times \left\{ \left[\hat{A}_{2,2,n} \overset{n-1}{\cdot} \frac{\vec{r}^{\,n-1} a^n}{r^{2n-1}} \right] \times \vec{r} \right\}, \quad (45)$$

$$\vec{v}_3 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n \left[\hat{A}_{3,1,n} \overset{n-1}{\cdot} \frac{\vec{r}^{\,n-1}}{a^n} \right] \times \vec{r} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n \left[\hat{A}_{3,2,n} \overset{n-1}{\cdot} \frac{\vec{r}^{\,n-1} a^{n+1}}{r^{2n+1}} \right] \times \vec{r}, \quad (46)$$

$$p = \eta \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(2n+2)(2n+3)}{n} \hat{A}_{2,1,n} \overset{n}{\cdot} \frac{\vec{r}^{\,n}}{a^{n+1}} + \eta \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2(2n-1) \hat{A}_{2,2,n} \overset{n}{\cdot} \frac{\vec{r}^{\,n} a^n}{r^{2n+1}}. \quad (47)$$

Here, $\hat{A}_{i,j,n} = \hat{A}_{i,j,n}(t)$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$, $j = 1, 2$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$) are some tensors depending on the time each of which is either an arbitrary vector for $n = 1$ or an arbitrary irreducible tensor for $n > 1$. This solution satisfies the continuity equation Eq. (1) and the Navier–Stokes equation in the low Reynolds number approximation Eq. (9). Determining differently the tensor coefficients $\hat{A}_{i,j,n}$ inside ($r < a$) and outside ($r > a$) the drop and satisfying the boundedness conditions, boundary conditions at infinity Eqs. (26)–(27), and impenetrability Eq. (10) and no-slip Eq. (11) conditions, one obtains

$$\vec{v} = \begin{cases} \vec{v}_{\mathrm{e}}, & r > a, \\ \vec{v}_{\mathrm{s}}, & r = a, \\ \vec{v}_{\mathrm{i}}, & r < a, \end{cases} \qquad p = \begin{cases} p_{\mathrm{e}}, & r > a, \\ p_{\mathrm{i}}, & r < a, \end{cases} \quad (48)$$

$$\vec{v}_{\mathrm{e}} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n+1) \left(r^2 - a^2\right) a^n \hat{B}_n \overset{n}{\cdot} \frac{\vec{r}^{\,n+1}}{r^{2n+3}} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n+1) \left[nr^2 - (n-2)a^2 \right] a^n \hat{C}_n \overset{n}{\cdot} \frac{\vec{r}^{\,n+1}}{r^{2n+3}}$$
$$+ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\vec{r}}{r} \times \left(\left\{ \left[(-n+2)r^2 + na^2 \right] a^n \hat{B}_n \overset{n-1}{\cdot} \frac{\vec{r}^{\,n-1}}{r^{2n+1}} \right\} \times \frac{\vec{r}}{r} \right)$$
$$- \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\vec{r}}{r} \times \left(\left\{ (n-2)n \left(r^2 - a^2\right) a^n \hat{C}_n \overset{n-1}{\cdot} \frac{\vec{r}^{\,n-1}}{r^{2n+1}} \right\} \times \frac{\vec{r}}{r} \right) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n \left[\hat{\Omega}_n \overset{n-1}{\cdot} \frac{\vec{r}^{\,n-1} a^{n+2}}{r^{2n+1}} \right] \times \vec{r}, \quad (49)$$

$$\vec{v}_{\mathrm{i}} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n+1) \left(r^2 - a^2\right) \frac{1}{r^2} \hat{B}_n \overset{n}{\cdot} \frac{\vec{r}^{\,n+1}}{a^{n+1}} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n+1) \left[(n+1)r^2 - (n+3)a^2 \right] \frac{1}{r^2} \hat{C}_n \overset{n}{\cdot} \frac{\vec{r}^{\,n+1}}{a^{n+1}}$$
$$+ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\vec{r}}{r} \times \left(\left\{ \left[(n+3)r^2 - (n+1)a^2 \right] \hat{B}_n \overset{n-1}{\cdot} \frac{\vec{r}^{\,n-1}}{a^{n+1}} \right\} \times \frac{\vec{r}}{r} \right)$$
$$- \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\vec{r}}{r} \times \left(\left\{ (n+1)(n+3) \left(r^2 - a^2\right) \hat{C}_n \overset{n-1}{\cdot} \frac{\vec{r}^{\,n-1}}{a^{n+1}} \right\} \times \frac{\vec{r}}{r} \right) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n \left[\hat{\Omega}_n \overset{n-1}{\cdot} \frac{\vec{r}^{\,n-1}}{a^{n-1}} \right] \times \vec{r}, \quad (50)$$

$$\vec{v}_{\mathrm{s}} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2(n+1) \hat{C}_n \overset{n}{\cdot} \vec{n}^{\,n+1} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2\vec{n} \times \left\{ \left[\hat{B}_n \overset{n-1}{\cdot} \vec{n}^{\,n-1} \right] \times \vec{n} \right\} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n \left[\hat{\Omega}_n \overset{n-1}{\cdot} \vec{n}^{\,n-1} \right] \times \vec{n}, \quad (51)$$

$$p_{\mathrm{e}} = \eta_{\mathrm{e}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2(2n-1) \left(\hat{B}_n + n\hat{C}_n \right) \overset{n}{\cdot} \frac{\vec{r}^{\,n} a^n}{r^{2n+1}} + p_\infty, \quad (52)$$

$$p_{\mathrm{i}} = \eta_{\mathrm{i}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(2n+2)(2n+3)}{n} \left[\hat{B}_n - (n+1)\hat{C}_n \right] \overset{n}{\cdot} \frac{\vec{r}^{\,n}}{a^{n+1}} + p_0. \quad (53)$$

Here, $\hat{\Omega}_n = \hat{\Omega}_n(t)$, $\hat{B}_n = \hat{B}_n(t)$, $\hat{C}_n = \hat{C}_n(t)$ are some tensors depending on the time each of which is either an arbitrary vector for $n = 1$ or an arbitrary irreducible tensor for $n > 1$, $p_0 = p_0(t)$ is the pressure at the center of the drop, which is to be found.

It follows from Eq. (51) that

$$\vec{v}_{\mathrm{s}\tau} = a \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n \left[\hat{\Omega}_n \overset{n-1}{\cdot} \vec{n}^{\,n-1} \right] \times \vec{n} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2\vec{n} \times \left\{ \left[\hat{B}_n \overset{n-1}{\cdot} \vec{n}^{\,n-1} \right] \times \vec{n} \right\}, \quad (54)$$

$$v_{\mathrm{s}n} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2(n+1) \hat{C}_n \overset{n}{\cdot} \vec{n}^{\,n}, \quad (55)$$

i.e., according to Eq. (33),

$$\hat{C}_n = \frac{1}{2(n+1)} \frac{\mathrm{d}\hat{H}_n}{\mathrm{d}t}. \quad (56)$$

Thus, in order to solve the problem set above, the unknown vector and tensor functions $\hat{D}_n(t)$, $\hat{\Omega}_n(t)$, $\hat{B}_n(t)$, and $\hat{H}_n(t)$ as well as the scalar function $p_0(t)$ should be found with the use of the remaining boundary conditions Eqs. (20), (23), and (25).

C. Asymptotic expansion

Introducing the dimensionless vector, tensor, and scalar functions, $\hat{D}_n^*(t^*)$, $\hat{\Omega}_n^*(t^*)$, $\hat{B}_n^*(t^*)$, $\hat{H}_n^*(t^*)$, and $p_0^*(t^*)$, as follows

$$\hat{D}_n(t) = a^{n+2} E_\mathrm{a} \hat{D}_n^*(t^*), \quad \hat{\Omega}_n(t) = \frac{\varepsilon_\mathrm{e} E_\mathrm{a}^2}{4\pi\eta_\mathrm{e}} \hat{\Omega}_n^*(t^*),$$
$$\hat{B}_n(t) = \frac{\varepsilon_\mathrm{e} E_\mathrm{a}^2 a}{8\pi\eta_\mathrm{e}} \hat{B}_n^*(t^*), \quad \hat{H}_n(t) = \frac{\eta_\mathrm{e}+\eta_\mathrm{i}}{\eta_\mathrm{e}} \frac{\varepsilon_\mathrm{e} E_\mathrm{a}^2 a^2}{4\pi\sigma_\mathrm{s}} \hat{H}_n^*(t^*),$$
$$p_0 = \frac{\varepsilon_\mathrm{e} E_\mathrm{a}^2}{4\pi} p_0^* + p_\infty + \frac{2\sigma_\mathrm{s}}{a}, \qquad t = \frac{2\left(\eta_\mathrm{e}+\eta_\mathrm{i}\right) a}{\sigma_\mathrm{s}} t^*, \quad (57)$$

we will seek them in the form of the following asymptotic expansions over the parameter $\eta^* = \eta_\mathrm{e}/\left(\eta_\mathrm{e}+\eta_\mathrm{i}\right)$

$$\hat{\Omega}_n^* \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \eta^{*j} \hat{\Omega}_{n,j}^*, \quad \hat{D}_n^* \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \eta^{*j} D_{n,j}^*,$$
$$\hat{B}_n^* \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \eta^{*j} B_{n,j}^*, \quad \hat{H}_n^* \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \eta^{*j} H_{n,j}^*,$$
$$p_0^* \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \eta^{*j} p_{0j}^*, \qquad \eta^* \to 0. \quad (58)$$

Note that $\eta^* \to 0$ corresponds to $\eta_\mathrm{i}/\eta_\mathrm{e} \to \infty$. Thus, the limit case $\eta^* \to 0$, i.e., the zeroth order approximation, in this problem corresponds to a rigid spherical particle in a viscous liquid.

D. Zeroth order approximation and corrections of the first order

Using the boundary conditions Eqs. (20), (23), and (25) in the dimensionless form, one obtains the following expressions and relations for $\hat{D}_{n,0}^*$, $\hat{\Omega}_{n,0}^*$, $\hat{H}_{n,0}^*$, $\hat{B}_{n,0}^*$, $p_{0,0}^*$, $\hat{\Omega}_{n,1}^*$ $(n \neq 1)$, $\hat{H}_{n,1}^*$, and $\hat{B}_{n,1}^*$

$$\hat{\Omega}_{n,0}^* = 0, \quad \hat{D}_{n,0}^* = 0, \quad n \neq 1, \quad (59)$$

$$\hat{H}_{n,0}^* = 0, \quad \hat{B}_{n,0}^* = 0, \quad (60)$$

$$\vec{\Omega}_{1,0}^* = -\frac{1}{2} \vec{k} \times \vec{D}_{1,0}^*, \quad (61)$$

$$\omega^* \left(2+\varepsilon_\mathrm{i}^*\right) \frac{\mathrm{d}\vec{D}_{1,0}^*}{\mathrm{d}t^*}$$
$$= -\left(1-\lambda_\mathrm{i}^*-2\lambda_\mathrm{s}^*\right) \vec{k} - \left(2+\lambda_\mathrm{i}^*+2\lambda_\mathrm{s}^*\right) \vec{D}_{1,0}^*$$
$$+ \frac{\tau^*}{2} \left[\left(1-\varepsilon_\mathrm{i}^*\right) \vec{k} + \left(2+\varepsilon_\mathrm{i}^*\right) \vec{D}_{1,0}^*\right] \times \left(\vec{k} \times \vec{D}_{1,0}^*\right), \quad (62)$$

$$p_{0,0}^* = \frac{1-\varepsilon_\mathrm{i}^*}{6} - \frac{1}{3}\left(4-\varepsilon_\mathrm{i}^*\right) \vec{k} \cdot \vec{D}_{1,0}^* - \frac{1}{6}\left(2+\varepsilon_\mathrm{i}^*\right) \vec{D}_{1,0}^* \cdot \vec{D}_{1,0}^*, \quad (63)$$

$$\hat{H}_{n,1}^* = 0, \quad \hat{B}_{n,1}^* = 0, \quad n \neq 2, \quad (64)$$

$$\hat{\Omega}_{n,1}^* = 0, \quad n \neq 1, \quad (65)$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\hat{H}_{2,1}^*}{\mathrm{d}t^*} + \frac{80}{47} \hat{H}_{2,1}^* = \frac{38}{47}\left(1-\varepsilon_\mathrm{i}^*\right) \left(\vec{k}\,\vec{k} - \frac{1}{3}\hat{I}\right)$$
$$+ \frac{38}{47}\left(1+2\varepsilon_\mathrm{i}^*\right) \left[\left(\vec{k}\,\vec{D}_{1,0}^*\right)^\mathrm{S} - \frac{1}{3}\vec{k} \cdot \vec{D}_{1,0}^*\,\hat{I}\right]$$
$$+ \frac{2}{47}\left(7-19\varepsilon_\mathrm{i}^*\right) \left(\vec{D}_{1,0}^*\,\vec{D}_{1,0}^* - \frac{1}{3}\vec{D}_{1,0}^* \cdot \vec{D}_{1,0}^*\,\hat{I}\right), \quad (66)$$

$$\hat{B}_{2,1}^* = \frac{17}{47}\left(1-\varepsilon_\mathrm{i}^*\right) \left(\vec{k}\,\vec{k} - \frac{1}{3}\hat{I}\right)$$
$$+ \frac{17}{47}\left(1+2\varepsilon_\mathrm{i}^*\right) \left[\left(\vec{k}\,\vec{D}_{1,0}^*\right)^\mathrm{S} - \frac{1}{3}\vec{k} \cdot \vec{D}_{1,0}^*\,\hat{I}\right]$$
$$- \frac{1}{47}\left(16+17\varepsilon_\mathrm{i}^*\right) \left(\vec{D}_{1,0}^*\,\vec{D}_{1,0}^* - \frac{1}{3}\vec{D}_{1,0}^* \cdot \vec{D}_{1,0}^*\,\hat{I}\right)$$
$$- \frac{16}{47}\hat{H}_{2,1}^*. \quad (67)$$

Here,

$$\vec{k} = \frac{\vec{E}_\mathrm{a}}{E_\mathrm{a}}, \quad \lambda_\mathrm{i}^* = \frac{\lambda_\mathrm{i}}{\lambda_\mathrm{e}}, \quad \lambda_\mathrm{s}^* = \frac{\lambda_\mathrm{s}}{a\lambda_\mathrm{e}}, \quad \varepsilon_\mathrm{i}^* = \frac{\varepsilon_\mathrm{i}}{\varepsilon_\mathrm{e}},$$
$$\eta_\mathrm{i}^* = \frac{\eta_\mathrm{i}}{\eta_\mathrm{e}}, \quad \eta^* = \frac{1}{1+\eta_\mathrm{i}^*}, \quad (68)$$

$$\tau^* = \frac{\varepsilon_\mathrm{e}^2 E_\mathrm{a}^2}{16\pi^2\lambda_\mathrm{e}\eta_\mathrm{e}} = \frac{U}{a}\tau_\mathrm{e}, \quad \tau_\mathrm{e} = \frac{\varepsilon_\mathrm{e}}{4\pi\lambda_\mathrm{e}}, \quad U = \frac{\varepsilon_\mathrm{e} E_\mathrm{a}^2 a}{4\pi\eta_\mathrm{e}}, \quad (69)$$

$$\omega^* = \frac{\varepsilon_\mathrm{e}\sigma_\mathrm{s}}{8\pi\lambda_\mathrm{e}\left(\eta_\mathrm{e}+\eta_\mathrm{i}\right) a} = \frac{2\sigma_\mathrm{s}}{\varepsilon_\mathrm{e} E_\mathrm{a}^2 a} \eta^* \tau^*. \quad (70)$$

It follows from Eq. (62) that

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\vec{D}_{1,0}^*}{\mathrm{d}t^*} \cdot \left(\vec{k} \times \vec{D}_{1,0}^*\right) = 0,$$

i.e., the vector $\vec{D}_{1,0}^* = \vec{D}_{1,0}^*(t^*)$, while varying, remains coplanar to the vectors $\vec{k}$ and $\vec{D}_{1,0}^*(t_0^*)$, where t_0^* is some initial instant. The vector $\vec{\Omega}_{1,0}^*$, as it follows from Eq. (61), remains normal to the vectors $\vec{k}$ and $\vec{D}_{1,0}^*(t_0^*)$, varying in its absolute value.

In the Cartesian basis

$$\vec{i}_z = \vec{k}, \quad \vec{i}_y = \frac{\vec{k} \times \vec{D}_{1,0}^*(t_0^*)}{\left|\vec{k} \times \vec{D}_{1,0}^*(t_0^*)\right|}, \quad \vec{i}_x = \vec{i}_y \times \vec{i}_z,$$

$\vec{D}_{1,0}^* = D_x^* \vec{i}_x + D_y^* \vec{i}_z$, where $D_x^* = D_x^*(t^*), D_z^* = D_z^*(t^*)$ is the solution to the system of the ordinary differential

equations

$$\omega^* \left(2+\varepsilon_{\rm i}^*\right) \frac{{\rm d}D_x^*}{{\rm d}t^*} = -\left[2+\lambda_{\rm i}^*+2\lambda_{\rm s}^*+\frac{\tau^*}{2}\left(1-\varepsilon_{\rm i}^*\right)\right] D_x^* - \frac{\tau^*}{2}\left(2+\varepsilon_{\rm i}^*\right) D_z^* D_x^*,$$
$$\omega^* \left(2+\varepsilon_{\rm i}^*\right) \frac{{\rm d}D_z^*}{{\rm d}t^*} = -1+\lambda_{\rm i}^*+2\lambda_{\rm s}^* - \left(2+\lambda_{\rm i}^*+2\lambda_{\rm s}^*\right) D_z^* + \frac{\tau^*}{2}\left(2+\varepsilon_{\rm i}^*\right) D_x^{*2}. \quad (71)$$

If the following condition is satisfied

$$\frac{3}{2}\frac{\tau^*\left(\varepsilon_{\rm i}^*-\lambda_{\rm i}^*-2\lambda_{\rm s}^*\right)}{\left(2+\lambda_{\rm i}^*+2\lambda_{\rm s}^*\right)^2} < 1, \quad (72)$$

then the system of equations Eq. (71) has the only stagnant point

$$D_{1x}^* = 0, \quad D_{1z}^* = -\frac{1-\lambda_{\rm i}^*-2\lambda_{\rm s}^*}{2+\lambda_{\rm i}^*+2\lambda_{\rm s}^*},$$

which is a stable knot. For the stationary solution to the equation Eq. (62) corresponding to this stagnant point,

$$\vec{D}_{1,0}^* = \vec{D}_{1,0{\rm si}}^* = -\frac{1-\lambda_{\rm i}^*-2\lambda_{\rm s}^*}{2+\lambda_{\rm i}^*+2\lambda_{\rm s}^*}\vec{k}, \quad \vec{\Omega}_{1,0}^* = \vec{\Omega}_{1,0{\rm si}}^* = \vec{0},$$

i.e. the electrorotation is absent. And if

$$\frac{3}{2}\frac{\tau^*\left(\varepsilon_{\rm i}^*-\lambda_{\rm i}^*-2\lambda_{\rm s}^*\right)}{\left(2+\lambda_{\rm i}^*+2\lambda_{\rm s}^*\right)^2} > 1, \quad (73)$$

then the system of equations Eq. (71) has three stagnant points: the saddle

$$D_{1x}^* = 0, \quad D_{1z}^* = -\frac{1-\lambda_{\rm i}^*-2\lambda_{\rm s}^*}{2+\lambda_{\rm i}^*+2\lambda_{\rm s}^*}$$

and the two stable focuses

$$D_{2x}^* = \pm\frac{2+\lambda_{\rm i}^*+2\lambda_{\rm s}^*}{\tau^*\left(2+\varepsilon_{\rm i}^*\right)}\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}\frac{\tau^*\left(\varepsilon_{\rm i}^*-\lambda_{\rm i}^*-2\lambda_{\rm s}^*\right)}{\left(2+\lambda_{\rm i}^*+2\lambda_{\rm s}^*\right)^2}-1},$$
$$D_{2z}^* = -\frac{4+2\lambda_{\rm i}^*+4\lambda_{\rm s}^*+\tau^*\left(1-\varepsilon_{\rm i}^*\right)}{\tau^*\left(2+\varepsilon_{\rm i}^*\right)}$$

symmetric with respect to the line $x = 0$.

In Fig. 1, the phase portraits of the system of equations

$$\frac{{\rm d}D_x^{**}}{{\rm d}t^{**}} = -\left(D_z^{**}-D_{0z}^{**}\right)D_x^{**}, \quad \frac{{\rm d}D_z^{**}}{{\rm d}t^{**}} = -D_z^{**}+D_x^{**2} \quad (74)$$

are depicted. The system of equations Eq. (74) has the only parameter

$$D_{0z}^{**} = \frac{3\tau^*}{2}\frac{\varepsilon_{\rm i}^*-\lambda_{\rm i}^*-2\lambda_{\rm s}^*}{\left(2+\lambda_{\rm i}^*+2\lambda_{\rm s}^*\right)^2}-1$$

and is obtained from the system Eq. (71) with the use of the transformation

$$D_x^{**} = \frac{\tau^*}{2}\frac{2+\varepsilon_{\rm i}^*}{2+\lambda_{\rm i}^*+2\lambda_{\rm s}^*}D_x^*,$$
$$D_z^{**} = \frac{\tau^*}{2}\frac{2+\varepsilon_{\rm i}^*}{2+\lambda_{\rm i}^*+2\lambda_{\rm s}^*}\left(D_z^*+\frac{1-\lambda_{\rm i}^*-2\lambda_{\rm s}^*}{2+\lambda_{\rm i}^*+2\lambda_{\rm s}^*}\right),$$
$$t^{**} = \frac{2+\lambda_{\rm i}^*+2\lambda_{\rm s}^*}{\left(2+\varepsilon_{\rm i}^*\right)\omega^*}t^*$$

that does not change the quantity and the types of the stagnant points.

The 3D phase portrait is obtained from the 2D one with the rotation around z axis, i.e., it has infinite number of stagnant points corresponding to the stationary solutions with the electrorotation that lie on some circle. Thus, if there is no stationary solutions with the electrorotation, then, with the accuracy up to the first order with respect to η^*, all the non-stationary solutions tend to the only stationary solution without the electrorotation when the time tends to infinity. And if there exist stationary solutions with the electrorotation, the stationary solution without the electrorotation is unstable, and any non-stationary solution tends to one of the stationary solutions with the electrorotation. Let us note that this result is exact for the limit case $\eta^* \to 0$ corresponding to a rigid sphere.

The solution to the equation for $\hat{H}_{2,1} = \hat{H}_{2,1}(t)$ Eq. (66) has the form

$$\hat{H}_{2,1}^*(t^*) = \hat{H}_{2,1}^*(t_0^*)\exp\left(-\frac{80}{47}t^*\right) + \int_{t_0^*}^{t^*}\exp\left[\frac{80}{47}\left(t^{*\prime}-t^*\right)\right]\hat{F}_{2,1}^*(t^{*\prime})\,{\rm d}t^{*\prime}, \quad (75)$$

$$\hat{F}_{2,1}^*(t^*) = \frac{38}{47}\left(1-\varepsilon_{\rm i}^*\right)\left(\vec{k}\,\vec{k}-\frac{1}{3}\hat{I}\right) + \frac{38}{47}\left(1+2\varepsilon_{\rm i}^*\right)\left[\left(\vec{k}\,\vec{D}_{1,0}^*\right)^{\rm S}-\frac{1}{3}\vec{k}\cdot\vec{D}_{1,0}^*\,\hat{I}\right] + \frac{2}{47}\left(7-19\varepsilon_{\rm i}^*\right)\left(\vec{D}_{1,0}^*\,\vec{D}_{1,0}^*-\frac{1}{3}\vec{D}_{1,0}^*\cdot\vec{D}_{1,0}^*\,\hat{I}\right), \quad (76)$$

where $\vec{D}_{1,0}^* = \vec{D}_{1,0}^*(t^{*\prime})$ is the solution to the equation Eq. (62).

The equation for the surface of the drop Eq. (30) can be rewritten in the form

$$\frac{r^2}{a^2+2ah\left(\dfrac{\vec{r}}{r},t\right)+h^2\left(\dfrac{\vec{r}}{r},t\right)} = 1.$$

Within the small deformation approximation, i.e., when the condition Eq. (32) is satisfied, this equation is equiv-



FIG. 1. Phase portraits of the system of equations Eq. (74) for the cases without and with electrorotation.

alent to the equation

$$\frac{r^2}{a^2}\left[1-2\frac{h\left(\dfrac{\vec{r}}{r},t\right)}{a}\right]=1.$$

With accuracy up to the terms of the first order with respect to η^*,

$$h\left(\frac{\vec{r}}{r},t\right)=\frac{1}{r^2}\hat{H}_{2,1}\overset{2}{\cdot}\vec{r}^{\,2}.$$

Thus, with accuracy up to the terms of the first order over η^*, the equation for the surface of the drop has the form

$$\frac{r^2}{a^2}-\frac{2\hat{H}_{2,1}}{a^3}\overset{2}{\cdot}\vec{r}^{\,2}=1. \tag{77}$$

This is the equation of an ellipsoid with semi-axes

$$\frac{a^2}{1-2H_{2,1,1}a^{-1}},\quad \frac{a^2}{1-2H_{2,1,2}a^{-1}},\quad \frac{a^2}{1-2H_{2,1,3}a^{-1}}, \tag{78}$$

where $H_{2,1,1}$, $H_{2,1,2}$, and $H_{2,1,3}$ are the eigenvalues of the tensor $\hat{H}_{2,1}=\hat{H}_{2,1}(t)$.

For the stationary solutions, $\hat{H}_{2,1}=\hat{H}_{2,1\rm s}$ and $\hat{B}_{2,1}=\hat{B}_{2,1\rm s}$, where

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{H}^*_{2,1\rm s}=&\frac{19}{40}\left\{\left[(1-\varepsilon_{\rm i}^*)\,\vec{k}+(2+\varepsilon_{\rm i}^*)\,\vec{D}^*_{1,0\rm s}\right]\left(\vec{k}-\vec{D}^*_{1,0\rm s}\right)\right\}^{\rm S}\\&-\frac{19}{120}\left[(1-\varepsilon_{\rm i}^*)\,\vec{k}+(2+\varepsilon_{\rm i}^*)\,\vec{D}^*_{1,0\rm s}\right]\cdot\left(\vec{k}-\vec{D}^*_{1,0\rm s}\right)\hat{I}\\&+\frac{9}{8}\left(\vec{D}^*_{1,0\rm s}\,\vec{D}^*_{1,0\rm s}-\frac{1}{3}\vec{D}^*_{1,0\rm s}\cdot\vec{D}^*_{1,0\rm s}\,\hat{I}\right),\end{aligned} \tag{79}$$

$$\hat{B}^*_{2,1\mathrm{s}} = \frac{1}{5}\left\{\left[\left(1-\varepsilon^*_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\vec{k} + \left(2+\varepsilon^*_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\vec{D}^*_{1,0\mathrm{s}}\right]\ \left(\vec{k}-\vec{D}^*_{1,0\mathrm{s}}\right)\right\}^{\mathrm{S}} - \frac{1}{15}\left[\left(1-\varepsilon^*_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\vec{k} + \left(2+\varepsilon^*_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\vec{D}^*_{1,0\mathrm{s}}\right]\cdot\left(\vec{k}-\vec{D}^*_{1,0\mathrm{s}}\right)\hat{I}, \quad (80)$$

and $\vec{D}^*_{1,0\mathrm{s}}$ is one of the stationary solutions to the equation for $\vec{D}^*_{1,0}(t^*)$ Eq. (62). For the stationary solutions with the electrorotation, $\vec{\Omega}^*_{1,0} = \vec{\Omega}^*_{1,0\mathrm{sr}}$,

$$\Omega^*_{1,0\mathrm{sr}} = \frac{2+\lambda^*_{\mathrm{i}}+2\lambda^*_{\mathrm{s}}}{\tau^*\left(2+\varepsilon^*_{\mathrm{i}}\right)}\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}\frac{\tau^*\left(\varepsilon^*_{\mathrm{i}}-\lambda^*_{\mathrm{i}}-2\lambda^*_{\mathrm{s}}\right)}{\left(2+\lambda^*_{\mathrm{i}}+2\lambda^*_{\mathrm{s}}\right)^2}-1}, \qquad \vec{\Omega}^*_{1,0\mathrm{sr}}\cdot\vec{k} = 0, \quad (81)$$

$$\vec{D}^*_{1,0} = \vec{D}^*_{1,0\mathrm{sr}} = -\left(\frac{2}{\tau^*}\frac{2+\lambda^*_{\mathrm{i}}+2\lambda^*_{\mathrm{s}}}{2+\varepsilon^*_{\mathrm{i}}}+\frac{1-\varepsilon^*_{\mathrm{i}}}{2+\varepsilon^*_{\mathrm{i}}}\right)\vec{k} + 2\vec{k}\times\vec{\Omega}^*_{1,0\mathrm{sr}}, \quad (82)$$

$$H^*_{2,1,1} = H^*_{1\mathrm{sr}} = \frac{1}{6}\left(a_H+c_H\right)+\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\left(c_H-a_H\right)^2+4b_H^2}, \quad (83)$$

$$H^*_{2,1,2} = H^*_{2\mathrm{sr}} = \frac{1}{6}\left(a_H+c_H\right)-\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\left(c_H-a_H\right)^2+4b_H^2}, \quad (84)$$

$$H^*_{2,1,3} = H^*_{3\mathrm{sr}} = -\frac{1}{3}\left(a_H+c_H\right), \quad (85)$$

$$\phi_{1\mathrm{sr}} = \frac{1}{2}\arctan\frac{2b_H}{c_H-a_H},\ \phi_{2\mathrm{sr}} = \frac{\pi}{2}-\phi_{1\mathrm{sr}},\ \phi_{3\mathrm{sr}} = \frac{\pi}{2}, \quad (86)$$

$$a_H = \frac{1}{40}\left(7-19\varepsilon^*_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\left(\vec{D}^*_{1,0\mathrm{sr}}\cdot\vec{i}_x\right)^2, \quad (87)$$

$$b_H = \frac{19}{40}\left(1+2\varepsilon^*_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\vec{D}^*_{1,0\mathrm{sr}}\cdot\vec{i}_x + \frac{1}{20}\left(7-19\varepsilon^*_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\vec{D}^*_{1,0\mathrm{sr}}\cdot\vec{k}\,\vec{D}^*_{1,0\mathrm{sr}}\cdot\vec{i}_x, \quad (88)$$

$$c_H = \frac{19}{40}\left(1-\varepsilon^*_{\mathrm{i}}\right)+\frac{19}{40}\left(1+2\varepsilon^*_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\vec{D}^*_{1,0\mathrm{sr}}\cdot\vec{k} + \frac{1}{40}\left(7-19\varepsilon^*_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\left(\vec{D}^*_{1,0\mathrm{sr}}\cdot\vec{k}\right)^2. \quad (89)$$

Here, $\phi_{1\mathrm{sr}}$, $\phi_{2\mathrm{sr}}$, and $\phi_{3\mathrm{sr}}$ are the angles between the principle axes of the ellipsoid, the shape of which the drop takes in the first approximation with respect to η^*, and the intensity vector of the applied electric field.

E. Corrections of the first and second orders

Using the boundary conditions Eqs. (20), (23), and (25) in the dimensionless form and the relations Eqs. (59)–(67), one obtains the following expressions and relations for $\hat{D}^*_{n,1}$, $\vec{\Omega}^*_{1,1}$, $p^*_{0,1}$, $\hat{\Omega}^*_{n,2}$ $(n\neq 1)$, $\hat{H}^*_{n,2}$, and $\hat{B}^*_{n,2}$

$$\vec{\Omega}^*_{1,1} = -\frac{1}{2}\vec{k}\times\vec{D}^*_{1,1}, \quad (90)$$

$$\hat{D}^*_{n,1} = 0, \quad n\neq 1,\ n\neq 3, \quad (91)$$

$$\omega^*\left(2+\varepsilon^*_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\frac{\mathrm{d}\vec{D}^*_{1,1}}{\mathrm{d}t^*}+\left(2+\lambda^*_{\mathrm{i}}+2\lambda^*_{\mathrm{s}}\right)\vec{D}^*_{1,1} - \frac{\tau^*}{2}\left[\left(1-\varepsilon^*_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\vec{k}+\left(2+\varepsilon^*_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\vec{D}^*_{1,0}\right]\times\left(\vec{k}\times\vec{D}^*_{1,1}\right) - \tau^*\left(2+\varepsilon^*_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\vec{\Omega}^*_{1,0}\times\vec{D}^*_{1,1} = \frac{3}{5}\tau^*\left[\left(1-\varepsilon^*_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\vec{k}+\left(2+\varepsilon^*_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\vec{D}^*_{1,0}\right]\cdot\hat{B}^*_{2,1} - \frac{2}{5}\tau^*\left[\left(1-\varepsilon^*_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\vec{k}+\left(2+\varepsilon^*_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\vec{D}^*_{1,0}\right]\cdot\frac{\mathrm{d}\hat{H}^*_{2,1}}{\mathrm{d}t^*}, \quad (92)$$

$$\omega^*\left(4+3\varepsilon^*_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\frac{\mathrm{d}\hat{D}^*_{3,1}}{\mathrm{d}t^*}+\left(4+3\lambda^*_{\mathrm{i}}+12\lambda^*_{\mathrm{s}}\right)\hat{D}^*_{3,1} - 3\tau^*\left(4+3\varepsilon^*_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\left(\vec{\Omega}^*_{1,0}\times\hat{D}^*_{3,1}\right)^{\mathrm{S}} = -\tau^*\left(\left\{\left[\left(1-\varepsilon^*_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\vec{k}+\left(2+\varepsilon^*_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\vec{D}^*_{1,0}\right]\ \frac{\mathrm{d}\hat{H}^*_{2,1}}{\mathrm{d}t^*}\right\}^{\mathrm{S}} - \frac{2}{5}\left\{\left[\left(1-\varepsilon^*_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\vec{k}+\left(2+\varepsilon^*_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\vec{D}^*_{1,0}\right]\cdot\frac{\mathrm{d}\hat{H}^*_{2,1}}{\mathrm{d}t^*}\ \hat{I}\right\}^{\mathrm{S}}\right) + 4\tau^*\left(\left\{\left[\left(1-\varepsilon^*_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\vec{k}+\left(2+\varepsilon^*_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\vec{D}^*_{1,0}\right]\ \hat{B}^*_{2,1}\right\}^{\mathrm{S}} - \frac{2}{5}\left\{\left[\left(1-\varepsilon^*_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\vec{k}+\left(2+\varepsilon^*_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\vec{D}^*_{1,0}\right]\cdot\hat{B}^*_{2,1}\ \hat{I}\right\}^{\mathrm{S}}\right), \quad (93)$$

$$p^*_{0,1} = -\frac{1}{3}\left[\left(4-\varepsilon^*_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\vec{k}+\left(2-\varepsilon^*_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\vec{D}^*_{1,0}\right]\cdot\vec{D}^*_{1,1}, \quad (94)$$

$$\hat{H}^*_{n,2} = 0, \quad \hat{B}^*_{n,2} = 0, \quad n\neq 2,\ n\neq 4, \quad (95)$$

$$\hat{\Omega}^*_{n,2} = 0, \quad n\neq 1,\ n\neq 3, \quad (96)$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\hat{H}^*_{2,2}}{\mathrm{d}t^*}+\frac{40}{19}\hat{H}^*_{2,2} = -\frac{15}{19}\hat{B}^*_{2,1}+\frac{68}{57}\frac{\mathrm{d}\hat{H}^*_{2,1}}{\mathrm{d}t^*} + \frac{1}{19}\left\{\left[19\left(1+2\varepsilon^*_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\vec{k}-2\left(7+19\varepsilon^*_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\vec{D}^*_{1,0}\right]\vec{D}^*_{1,1}\right\}^{\mathrm{S}} - \frac{1}{57}\left[19\left(1+2\varepsilon^*_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\vec{k}-2\left(7+19\varepsilon^*_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\vec{D}^*_{1,0}\right]\cdot\vec{D}^*_{1,1}\ \hat{I} + \frac{12}{133}\left[\left(8-\varepsilon^*_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\vec{k}+\left(39-34\varepsilon^*_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\vec{D}^*_{1,0}\right]\cdot\hat{D}^*_{3,1}, \quad (97)$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\hat{H}^*_{4,2}}{\mathrm{d}t^*}+\frac{72}{17}\hat{H}^*_{4,2} = \frac{1}{51}\left\{\left[17\left(1+6\varepsilon^*_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\vec{k}+2\left(41-51\varepsilon^*_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\vec{D}^*_{1,0}\right]\ \hat{D}^*_{3,1}\right\}^{\mathrm{S}} -\frac{1}{119}\left\{\left[17\left(1+6\varepsilon^*_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\vec{k}+2\left(41-51\varepsilon^*_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\vec{D}^*_{1,0}\right]\cdot\hat{D}^*_{3,1}\ \hat{I}\right\}^{\mathrm{S}}, \tag{98}$$

$$\hat{B}^*_{2,2} = -\frac{9}{38}\hat{B}^*_{2,1}+\frac{25}{38}\frac{\mathrm{d}\hat{H}^*_{2,1}}{\mathrm{d}t^*}-\frac{12}{19}\hat{H}^*_{2,2} +\frac{1}{38}\left\{\left[19\left(1+2\varepsilon^*_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\vec{k}-2\left(11+19\varepsilon^*_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\vec{D}^*_{1,0}\right]\vec{D}^*_{1,1}\right\}^{\mathrm{S}} -\frac{1}{114}\left[19\left(1+2\varepsilon^*_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\vec{k}-2\left(11+19\varepsilon^*_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\vec{D}^*_{1,0}\right]\cdot\vec{D}^*_{1,1}\ \hat{I} -\frac{2}{133}\left[2\left(8-\varepsilon^*_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\vec{k}-5\left(11-13\varepsilon^*_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\vec{D}^*_{1,0}\right]\cdot\hat{D}^*_{3,1}, \tag{99}$$

$$\hat{B}^*_{4,2} = -\frac{12}{17}\hat{H}^*_{4,2} +\frac{1}{102}\left\{\left[17\left(1+6\varepsilon^*_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\vec{k}-2\left(43+51\varepsilon^*_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\vec{D}^*_{1,0}\right]\ \hat{D}^*_{3,1}\right\}^{\mathrm{S}} -\frac{3}{714}\left\{\left[17\left(1+6\varepsilon^*_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\vec{k}-2\left(43+51\varepsilon^*_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\vec{D}^*_{1,0}\right]\cdot\hat{D}^*_{3,1}\ \hat{I}\right\}^{\mathrm{S}}, \tag{100}$$

$$\hat{\Omega}^*_{3,2} = -\frac{1}{10}\left\{\left[\left(5+2\varepsilon^*_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\vec{k}-2\left(1+\varepsilon^*_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\vec{D}^*_{1,0}\right]\times\hat{D}^*_{3,1}\right\}^{\mathrm{S}}. \tag{101}$$

The linear ordinary differential equations for $\hat{H}^*_{2,2}$ and $\hat{H}^*_{4,2}$ Eqs. (97) and (98) have the following solutions

$$\hat{H}^*_{2,2}(t^*) = \exp\left(-\frac{40}{19}t^*\right)\hat{H}^*_{2,2}(t^*_0) +\exp\left(-\frac{40}{19}t^*\right)\int_{t^*_0}^{t^*}\exp\left(\frac{40}{19}t^{*\prime}\right)\hat{F}^*_{2,2}(t^{*\prime})\,\mathrm{d}t^{*\prime}, \tag{102}$$

$$\hat{F}^*_{2,2} = -\frac{15}{19}\hat{B}^*_{2,1}+\frac{136}{19}\hat{C}^*_{2,1} +\frac{1}{19}\left\{\left[19\left(1+2\varepsilon^*_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\vec{k}-2\left(7+19\varepsilon^*_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\vec{D}^*_{1,0}\right]\vec{D}^*_{1,1}\right\}^{\mathrm{S}} -\frac{1}{57}\left[19\left(1+2\varepsilon^*_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\vec{k}-2\left(7+19\varepsilon^*_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\vec{D}^*_{1,0}\right]\cdot\vec{D}^*_{1,1}\ \hat{I} +\frac{12}{133}\left[\left(8-\varepsilon^*_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\vec{k}+\left(39-34\varepsilon^*_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\vec{D}^*_{1,0}\right]\cdot\hat{D}^*_{3,1}, \tag{103}$$

$$\hat{H}^*_{4,2}(t^*) = \exp\left(-\frac{72}{17}t^*\right)\hat{H}^*_{2,2}(t^*_0) +\int_{t^*_0}^{t^*}\exp\left[\frac{72}{17}\left(t^{*\prime}-t^*\right)\right]\hat{F}^*_{4,2}(t^{*\prime})\,\mathrm{d}t^{*\prime}, \tag{104}$$

$$\hat{F}^*_{4,2} = \frac{1}{51}\left\{\left[17\left(1+6\varepsilon^*_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\vec{k}+2\left(41-51\varepsilon^*_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\vec{D}^*_{1,0}\right]\ \hat{D}^*_{3,1}\right\}^{\mathrm{S}} -\frac{1}{119}\left\{\left[17\left(1+6\varepsilon^*_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\vec{k}+2\left(41-51\varepsilon^*_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\vec{D}^*_{1,0}\right]\cdot\hat{D}^*_{3,1}\ \hat{I}\right\}^{\mathrm{S}}. \tag{105}$$

Thus, $\vec{\Omega}^*_{1,1}$, $\hat{H}^*_{2,2}$, $\hat{H}^*_{4,2}$, $\hat{B}^*_{2,2}$, $\hat{B}^*_{4,2}$, and $\hat{\Omega}^*_{3,2}$ are determined by $\vec{D}^*_{1,1}(t^*)$, $\hat{D}^*_{3,1}(t^*)$, and $\vec{D}^*_{1,0}(t^*)$, which are the solutions to the differential equations Eqs. (92), (93), and (62).

As $t^*\to\infty$, the solutions of the equations Eqs. (92) and (93) tend to the stationary solutions $\vec{D}^*_{1,1}(t^*) = \vec{D}^*_{1,1\mathrm{sr}}$ and $\hat{D}^*_{3,1}(t^*) = \hat{D}^*_{3,1\mathrm{sr}}$, where

$$\vec{D}^*_{1,1\mathrm{sr}} = D^*_{1,1\mathrm{sr}x}\vec{i}_x + D^*_{1,1\mathrm{sr}y}\vec{i}_y + D^*_{1,1\mathrm{sr}z}\vec{k}, \tag{106}$$

$$D^*_{1,1\mathrm{sr}x} = \frac{2}{25}\frac{\frac{14}{\tau^*}\left(2+\lambda^*_{\mathrm{i}}+2\lambda^*_{\mathrm{s}}\right)+9}{\left(3+\varepsilon^*_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\left(2+\varepsilon^*_{\mathrm{i}}\right)}\Omega^*_{1,0\mathrm{sr}} +\frac{2}{25}\frac{1}{\Omega^*_{1,0\mathrm{sr}}}\frac{\left(2+\lambda^*_{\mathrm{i}}+2\lambda^*_{\mathrm{s}}\right)^2}{\tau^{*2}\left(3+\varepsilon^*_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\left(2+\varepsilon^*_{\mathrm{i}}\right)}\left[3\frac{13+6\varepsilon^*_{\mathrm{i}}}{2+\varepsilon^*_{\mathrm{i}}} +\frac{2}{\tau^*}\left(2+\lambda^*_{\mathrm{i}}+2\lambda^*_{\mathrm{s}}\right)\frac{19+9\varepsilon^*_{\mathrm{i}}}{2+\varepsilon^*_{\mathrm{i}}}\right], \tag{107}$$

$$D^*_{1,1\mathrm{sr}y} = \exp\left[-\int_{t^*_0}^{\infty}f(t^{\prime *})\,\mathrm{d}t^{\prime *}\right]\left\{D^*_{1,1y}(t^*_0) +\int_{t^*_0}^{\infty}\frac{g(t^{\prime *})}{\omega^*\left(2+\varepsilon^*_{\mathrm{i}}\right)}\exp\left[\int_{t^*_0}^{t^{\prime *}}f(t^{\prime\prime *})\,\mathrm{d}t^{\prime\prime *}\right]\,\mathrm{d}t^{\prime *}\right\}, \tag{108}$$

$$D^*_{1,1\mathrm{sr}z} = -\frac{16}{25}\frac{1}{2+\varepsilon^*_{\mathrm{i}}}\Omega^{*2}_{1,0\mathrm{sr}} -\frac{2}{25}\frac{2+\lambda^*_{\mathrm{i}}+2\lambda^*_{\mathrm{s}}}{\tau^*\left(2+\varepsilon^*_{\mathrm{i}}\right)}\left[\frac{3}{2+\varepsilon^*_{\mathrm{i}}} +\frac{2}{\tau^*}\left(2+\lambda^*_{\mathrm{i}}+2\lambda^*_{\mathrm{s}}\right)\frac{7+3\varepsilon^*_{\mathrm{i}}}{2+\varepsilon^*_{\mathrm{i}}}\right], \tag{109}$$

$$g = \frac{3}{5}\tau^*\left[\left(1-\varepsilon^*_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\vec{k}+\left(2+\varepsilon^*_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\vec{D}^*_{1,0}\right]\cdot\hat{B}^*_{2,1}\cdot\vec{i}_y -\frac{2}{5}\tau^*\left[\left(1-\varepsilon^*_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\vec{k}+\left(2+\varepsilon^*_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\vec{D}^*_{1,0}\right]\cdot\frac{\mathrm{d}\hat{H}^*_{2,1}}{\mathrm{d}t^*}\cdot\vec{i}_y, \tag{110}$$

$$f = \frac{2+\lambda^*_{\mathrm{i}}+2\lambda^*_{\mathrm{s}}+\frac{\tau^*}{2}\left[1-\varepsilon^*_{\mathrm{i}}+\left(2+\varepsilon^*_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\vec{D}^*_{1,0}\cdot\vec{k}\right]}{\omega^*\left(2+\varepsilon^*_{\mathrm{i}}\right)}, \tag{111}$$

$$\hat{D}^*_{3,1\mathrm{sr}} = \frac{4\tau^* \left(4 + 3\lambda^*_{\mathrm{i}} + 12\lambda^*_{\mathrm{s}}\right) \hat{G}^*_{3,1\mathrm{sr}}}{\left(4 + 3\lambda^*_{\mathrm{i}} + 12\lambda^*_{\mathrm{s}}\right)^2 + 9\tau^{*2} \left(4 + 3\varepsilon^*_{\mathrm{i}}\right)^2 \Omega^{*2}_{1,0\mathrm{sr}}} + \frac{12\tau^{*2} \left(4 + 3\varepsilon^*_{\mathrm{i}}\right) \left(\vec{\Omega}^*_{1,0\mathrm{sr}} \times \hat{G}^*_{3,1\mathrm{sr}}\right)^{\mathrm{S}}}{\left(4 + 3\lambda^*_{\mathrm{i}} + 12\lambda^*_{\mathrm{s}}\right)^2 + 9\tau^{*2} \left(4 + 3\varepsilon^*_{\mathrm{i}}\right)^2 \Omega^{*2}_{1,0\mathrm{sr}}}, \quad (112)$$

$$\hat{G}^*_{3,1\mathrm{sr}} = \left\{ \left[\left(1 - \varepsilon^*_{\mathrm{i}}\right) \vec{k} + \left(2 + \varepsilon^*_{\mathrm{i}}\right) \vec{D}^*_{1,0\mathrm{sr}} \right] \hat{B}^*_{2,1\mathrm{sr}} \right\}^{\mathrm{S}} - \frac{2}{5} \left\{ \left[\left(1 - \varepsilon^*_{\mathrm{i}}\right) \vec{k} + \left(2 + \varepsilon^*_{\mathrm{i}}\right) \vec{D}^*_{1,0\mathrm{sr}} \right] \cdot \hat{B}^*_{2,1\mathrm{sr}} \hat{I} \right\}^{\mathrm{S}}. \quad (113)$$

Thus, these stationary solutions are always stable.

Note that $D^*_{1,1\mathrm{sr}y}$ depends not only on the intensity of the applied electric field and parameters of the liquids but also on the process of variation of $\vec{D}^*_{1,0\mathrm{sr}}(t^*)$. This fact means that the dependence of the electric dipole moment of the drop on the electric field intensity has hysteresis even for very slow variation of the latter. Note also that $D^*_{1,1\mathrm{sr}x} \to \infty$ as $\Omega^*_{1,0\mathrm{sr}} \to 0$, i.e., the used asymptotic expansion is not valid when $\Omega^*_{1,0\mathrm{sr}}$ is less than some critical value.

IV. SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION

Electric field intensity and velocity and pressure in the electrohydrodynamic flow inside and outside a drop of a viscous weakly conducting polarizable liquid suspended in another viscous weakly conducting polarizable liquid immiscible with the former for the case of electrorotation are found in the small deformation approximation in the form of the series Eqs.(36)–(37) and (48)–(53) determined by the functions of the time $\hat{D}_n(t)$, $\hat{\Omega}_n(t)$, $\hat{B}_n(t)$ and $p_0(t)$. The variation of the shape of the drop is determined by the functions of time $\hat{H}_n(t)$ according to Eqs.(30) and (31). The functions $\hat{D}_n(t)$, $\hat{\Omega}_n(t)$, $\hat{B}_n(t)$, $\hat{H}_n(t)$ $p_0(t)$ adimensionalized according to Eq.(57) can be presented in the form of the asymptotic expansions Eq.(58) in the parameter η^*. The relations Eqs.(59)–(67) and (90)–(101) are obtained for the terms of the zeroth, first, and second orders of these expansions.

It is established that the electric field in the zeroth approximation is determined by the dipole moment of the drop and the correction of the first order the the field is determined by the correction of the first order to the dipole moment and by the octupole moment. Up to the terms of the first order, the electric dipole and octupole moments of the drop are determined by the differential equations Eqs.(62), (92), and (93) and the angular velocity of electrorotation is determined by the relations Eqs.(61) and (90). The shape of the drop is determined up to the terms of the second order by the differential equations Eqs.(66), (97), and (98). The stationary solutions of the differential equations mentioned above are found in the explicit form and their stability is investigated.

It is established that, in the zeroth approximation, besides of the stationary solutions without electrorotation, which always exists, there also exist stationary solutions with electrorotation determined with the accuracy up to the direction of the angular velocity of electrorotation when the condition Eq.(73) is fulfilled. All the solutions with electrorotation are stable and the solution without electrorotation are unstable if the condition Eq.(73) is fulfilled. If the condition Eq.(73) is not fulfilled, then the solution without electrorotation is stable. In the dimensional form, the condition Eq.(73) can be written as follows

$$E_{\mathrm{a}} > E_{\mathrm{c}}, \quad (114)$$

where E_{c} is the critical value of the intensity defined as follows

$$E_{\mathrm{c}} = \frac{2 + \dfrac{\lambda_{\mathrm{i}}}{\lambda_{\mathrm{e}}} + \dfrac{2\lambda_{\mathrm{s}}}{a\lambda_{\mathrm{e}}}}{\sqrt{\dfrac{\varepsilon_{\mathrm{i}}}{\varepsilon_{\mathrm{e}}} - \dfrac{\lambda_{\mathrm{i}}}{\lambda_{\mathrm{e}}} - \dfrac{2\lambda_{\mathrm{s}}}{a\lambda_{\mathrm{e}}}}} \sqrt{\frac{32\pi^2 \lambda_{\mathrm{e}} \eta_{\mathrm{e}}}{3\varepsilon_{\mathrm{e}}^2}}. \quad (115)$$

Note that E_{c} is determined only if the following condition is fulfilled

$$\frac{\varepsilon_{\mathrm{i}}}{\varepsilon_{\mathrm{e}}} > \frac{\lambda_{\mathrm{i}}}{\lambda_{\mathrm{e}}} + \frac{2\lambda_{\mathrm{s}}}{a\lambda_{\mathrm{e}}}.$$

It is established that, up to the terms of the first order, the stationary shape of the drop is an ellipsoid of the general form one of the main axes of which is directed along the angular velocity and the remaining two ones make some angles with the electric field intensity vector. The explicit expressions are obtained for the semiaxes of the ellipsoid, Eqs.(87)–(89), and for these angles, Eq.(86). Up to the terms of the second order, the surface of the drop is described by an equation of the fourth order.

It is established that, up to the terms of the first order, the hysteresis of the dependence of the stationary electric dipole moment of the drop on the intensity of the applied electric field takes place. Since the dipole moment determines the angular velocity of electrorotation up to the terms of the first order and the shape of the drop up to the terms of the second order, the hysteresis takes place for them also. Thus, up to the terms of the second order, the hysteresis of the dependence of the shape of the drop on the intensity of the applied electric field takes place, which is consistent with the experiments on the electrortation of drops the ratio of the viscosity of which to the viscosity of the surrounding liquid was not large.[1]

Since a stable stationary solution always exists in the zeroth approximation and the corrections to it of the first and second orders are also stable, one may assume that no transition to deformational oscillations takes place when the validity conditions for the approximations used in solving the problem are fulfilled. Thus, in order to rich the consistency with the experiments where such a transition was observed, it is necessary to refuse to use at least one of the approximations: the quasistationary

field approximation, quasisteady flow approximation, or small deformation approximation. The frequency of the oscillations observed in the experiments was too small in order the validity conditions for the quasistationary field and quasisteady flow approximations not to be fulfilled. This fact provides the basis to assume that the transition to the deformational oscillations takes place when the validity conditions for the small deformation approximation are not fulfilled. Thus, one may hope that a solution with the transition to the deformational oscillations can be obtained if the influence of the deviation of the shape of the drop from a sphere on the electric field and on the electrohydrodynamic flow is taken into account.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Supported by Russian Foundation for Basic Research grants Nos. 16-01-00157 and 14-01-00056.

[1]Salipante P.F. and Vlahovska P.M.: Electrohydrodynamics of drops in strong uniform dc electric fields. Phys Fluids 22:112110, 2010.

[2]He H., Salipante P.F., and Vlahovska P.M.: Electrorotation of a Viscous Droplet in a Uniform Direct Current Electric Field. Phys Fluids 25:032106, 2013.

[3]Salipante P.F. and Vlahovska P.M.: Electrohydrodynamic rotations of a viscous droplet. Phys Rev E 88:043003, 2013.

[4]Melcher J.R. and Taylor G.I.: Electrohydrodynamics: a Review of the Role of Interfacial Shear Stresses. Annu Rev Fluid Mech 1:111-146,1969.

[5]Jones T.B.: Quincke Rotation of Spheres. IEEE T. Ind Appl IA-20:4:845-849, 1984.

[6]Vlahovska P.M.: Electrohydrodynamic instabilities of viscous drops. Phys Rev Fluid 1:060504, 2016.

[7]Lamb, H. 1932 Hydrodynamics. Cambridge University Press.